UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,629	03/21/2005	Ryuji Nakagawa	Q85397	3520
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/21/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	TOSCANO, ALICIA		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/518,629	NAKAGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Alicia M. Toscano	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on the content of the corresponding amount of the	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed to the complex of the complex			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	/ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		condition for alloward	de decause.
13. Other:	1 10/00/00/ rapel 110(5)		
/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's declaration is not fully persuasive. The Examiner can properly compare Table 1-1 and 2 and Comp Ex A, (the table in the declaration dated 10/14/08) with Table 2-2 Comp Ex 4, 5, 7 and 8 of the specification. Though Comp Ex 4, 5, 7 and 8 comprise aromatic oil, whereas those of the declaration do not, one can see from Comp Ex 1 and A that said oil minimally increases the properties and as such proper comparison can still be obtained. Said examples are sufficient to show unexpected results for the composition when element (C) is C5/C9 copolymer resin, phenol resin A, C9-based aromatic resin B and coumarone-indene resin A, however the claims are not commensurate in scope with the unexpected results. Since the Examiner does not have a proper disclosure as to what these resins are (backbone, MW, ect) the Examiner cannot properly ascertain if unexpected results would be obtained from all "synthetic resins", as in Claim 1, nor all "petroleum-based resins, phenol-based resins, coalbased resins and xylene-based resins" as in claim 7.

The Examiner recommends amending the scope of the claims to be commensurate with the unexpected results. Further, a majority of the resins of element (C) in the Table are trademarks and the full composition makeup is not disclosed. Applicant should disclose the composition of said Trademarks and claim the specific polymers.

Additionally, Applicant has only shown the use of 40 parts component (B) and 40 parts component (C), not the entire range of 10-200 of (B) and 10-150 parts of (C) of the claims. Further, the range of (C) is found in dependant claim 18 should be amended into the independent claim in order to be commensurate with the unexpected results. Additionally, all the examples shown include carbon black (an optional component of dependant claim 20), if carbon black is a required component it should be added to the independent claim. If carbon black is not a required component (i.e. unexpected results can be shown for compositions without carbon black), then Applicant should submit evidence of such.